

were periodically recorded throughout the experiments, in which blood pressure was altered by changing the blood volume. Hypotension was produced by slow haemorrhage from a femoral arterial catheter and the blood pressure was restored by an intravenous infusion of heparinized cat blood. Typical results are shown in Fig. 1.

The mean resting arterial blood pressure of all cats used during these experiments was 100–120 mm Hg. In each case there was no significant change in any of the responses of the nictitating membrane until the blood pressure had been reduced to 45–60 mm Hg. A further reduction in pressure to 30–45 mm Hg resulted in a marked reduction in the responses of the membrane to nerve stimulation and only a slight reduction of the responses to injected catecholamines. When the cats were maintained in this state of hypotension the responses to injected adrenaline and noradrenaline remained unaltered whereas the responses to nerve stimulation became progressively weaker. Following re-establishment of the resting blood pressure there was an almost immediate return of all responses towards the control pre-haemorrhage levels.

We conclude that the reduction of blood pressure to 45–60 mm Hg or below, especially if prolonged, will reduce the responses of the cat nictitating membrane to nerve stimulation. Since the effect of blood pressure on the responses to intravenously administered catecholamines was minimal, interference with sympathetic nerve function is implicated.

Department of Pharmacology,
Portsmouth School of Pharmacy,
Park Road, Portsmouth, Hants.
May 12, 1967

DENISE M. STREET
D. J. ROBERTS

Potentialiation of noradrenaline isomers by cocaine and desipramine in the isolated vas deferens of the rat

SIR,—A correlation of block of noradrenaline uptake and supersensitivity to this amine at the receptors of the effector organs, has received support from many authors (Hertting, Axelrod & Whitby, 1961; Muscholl, 1961; Thoenen, Huerlimann & Haefely, 1964).

The uptake of noradrenaline at nerve terminals is supposed to be stereospecific in favour of the D-(–)-isomer. Maickel, Beaven & Brodie (1963), Iversen (1963) and Euler & Lishajko (1964) have shown that D-(–)-noradrenaline is much more readily taken up into noradrenaline stores than is its L-(+)-isomer.

Block of uptake should result in a greater sensitization for those amines which are taken up readily than those which are scarcely taken up. Trendelenburg (1965) and Tye, Patil & LaPidus (1967) showed that cocaine sensitizes the nictitating membrane and the vascular system of the cat more to the D-(–)- than to the L-(+)-form.

In the investigations on which the present report is based, the phenomenon was examined *in vitro* on the isolated vas deferens of the rat, which is one of the most suitable preparations to assess noradrenaline supersensitivity (Ursillo & Jacobson, 1965; Cuenca & Valdecasas, 1965). In this preparation the D-(–)-form of noradrenaline is more active than the L-(+)-isomer (Patil, LaPidus & Tye, 1967). We found both cocaine and desipramine sensitized the preparation to the D-(–)-form whereas the L-(+)-form was unaffected by desipramine and slightly but not significantly potentiated by cocaine. This is shown in Fig. 1 where dose-response curves before and after cocaine or desipramine, obtained in four different preparations, are shown.




FIG. 1. Effect of cocaine and desipramine on isolated vas deferens of the rat to the contractions induced by L-(+)- and D-(-)-noradrenaline (NA) before (●—●) and 15 min after addition to the bath of desipramine 10^{-7} g/litre (▲ — — — ▲) or cocaine 10^{-6} g/litre (○ — — — ○).

Desipramine and cocaine reduce the effect of indirectly-acting sympathomimetic amines. However, the ineffectiveness of desipramine and cocaine in potentiating the L-(+)-isomer cannot be attributed to a specific indirect action of this amine since Patil, LaPidus, Campbell & Tye (1967) showed that the L-(+)-isomer of noradrenaline did not lose its effectiveness in the vas deferens of rats pretreated with reserpine. Iversen (1965) advanced the hypothesis that there are two uptake mechanisms for concentrating noradrenaline at the sympathetic nerve terminals. Uptake₁ which operates at low doses of the amine, and Uptake₂ which operates at high doses. Desipramine and cocaine are good inhibitors of Uptake₁, whereas the second process is scarcely inhibited by high doses of desipramine or cocaine. It might be that the high doses of the L-(+)-isomer require the second process to effect their uptake. If this is so, no potentiation after exposure to cocaine and desipramine would be expected.

Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri,"
Via Eritrea, 62,
Milan,
Italy.
May 9, 1967

F. BENVENUTI
A. BONACCORSI
S. GARATTINI

References

- Cuenca, E. & Valdecasas, F. G. (1965). *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **4**, 209–211.
Euler, U. S. von & Lishajko, F. (1964). *Acta physiol. scand.*, **60**, 217–222.
Hertting, G., Axelrod, J. & Whitby, L. G. (1961). *J. Pharmac. exp. Ther.*, **134**, 146–153.
Iversen, L. L. (1963). *Br. J. Pharmac. Chemother.*, **21**, 523–537.
Iversen, L. L. (1965). *Ibid.*, **24**, 387–394.
Maickel, R. P., Beaven, N. A. & Brodie, B. B. (1963). *Life Sci.*, **12**, 953–958.
Muscholl, E. (1961). *Br. J. Pharmac. Chemother.*, **16**, 352–359.
Patil, P. N., LaPidus, J. B. & Tye, A. (1967). *J. Pharmac. exp. Ther.*, **155**, 1–12.
Patil, P. N., LaPidus, J. B., Campbell, D. & Tye, A. (1967). *Ibid.*, **155**, 13–23.
Thoenen, H., Huerlimann, A. & Haefely, W. (1964). *Ibid.*, **144**, 405–414.
Trendelenburg, U. (1965). *Ibid.*, **148**, 329–338.
Tye, A., Patil, P. N. & LaPidus, J. B. (1967). *Ibid.*, **155**, 24–30.
Ursillo, R. C. & Jacobson, J. (1965). *Ibid.*, **148**, 247–251.